GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

Establishment - ACB Case - Smt. M. Vijaya Lakshmi, Asst. Project Director and Project Director (FAC), O/o D.W & C.D.A., Visakhapatnam – Possession of disproportionate assets worth Rs.35,32,694/- Final Report received – Representation of the A.O. – Examined – Sri J. Satyanarayana, I.P.S. (Retd) Member, Commissionerate of Inquiries (CoI) – Appointed as Inquiry Authority - Orders - Issued.

DEPTT FOR WOMEN, CHILDREN, DIFFERENTLY ABLED AND SERNIOR CITIZENS

G.O.Ms.No.15. Dated:01-11-2022.

Read the followings:-

01. R.M in Cr.No.12/RCA-WVP/2016, dated 20.05.2016 of DSP, ACB.
02. DG, ACB, Lr.Rc.No.73/RCA-WVP/2016-S.11, dated 01.07.2016.
03. Govt., Memo.No.583/Estt.,A3/2016-1, dated 13.07.2016.
04. Procgs of Spl. Commr(I/c) WD&CW, A.P, Hyd., No.4615/E2/2016-1, dated 19.07.2016.
05. G.O.Ms.No.52, Deptt., for WCDA & SC, dated 4.10.2016
06. G.O.Rt.No.159, Deptt., for WCDA&SC, dated 24.08.2018
07. DG, ACB, Lr.Rc.No.73/RCA-WVP/2016-S.11, dated 10.03.2021.
08. Representation of Smt. M. Vijaya Lakshmi, dated 15.04.2021.
09. Govt., Memo.No.583/Estt.,A3/2016-12, dt. 01.06.2021
10. DG, ACB Lr.Rc.No.73/RCA-WVP/2016.S.11, dated 3.09.2021.
11. DG, ACB, Lr.Rc.No.73/RCA/2016-1, dated 17.11.2021.
12. Smt. M. Vijaya Lakshmi, representation dated 28.07.2022.
13. DG, ACB Lr.Rc.No.57/RPC©/2022, dated 27.07.2022 received on 29.07.2022.
14. Govt., Memo.No.583/Estt.A3/2016-13, dated 10.10.2022.

*****

ORDER:-

Whereas, a case of acquisition of assets of Disproportionate to the known sources of income was registered against Smt. Mopuri Vijaya Lakshmi, W/o Yeturi Rama Linga Reddy, Asst. Project Director, Project Director (FAC), District Women & Child Development Agency, Visakhapatnam, and searches was conducted on 20.05.2016.

2. Whereas, in the letter 7th read above, the Director General, Anti Corruption Bureau, Andhra Pradesh, has informed that in the light of oral and documentary evidence, it is clear that the A.O Smt. Mopuri Vijaya Lakshmi, W/o Yeturi Rama Linga Reddy, Project Director, District Women & Child Development Agency, Visakhapatnam had acquired assets disproportionate to the known sources of her income to a tune of Rs.35,32,694/- which was about 31.19% of the income of the A.O Smt. Mopuri Vijaya Lakshmi. Therefore, the Director General, Anti Corruption Bureau has recommended to prosecute the A.O Smt. Mopuri Vijaya Lakshmi, in a court of law u/s 13(2)R/w13(1)(e) of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988.

3. The Director General, Anti Corruption Bureau, has also recommended for departmental action against the A.O Smt. Mopuri Vijaya Lakshmi, for violation of rule 9(1) and rule 9(2) of A.P. Civil Services (conduct) Rules, 1964, as she failed to obtain permission from the competent authority for acquisition of immovable properties noted as item Nos.1,2,3,4 and 7 in the list of assets and the A.O also failed to inform the competent authority about the transactions pertaining to movable properties noted in item Nos.10,11 and 13 in the list of assets.

4. The Director General, Anti Corruption Bureau has further recommend for departmental action against the A.O Smt. Mopuri Vijaya Lakshmi, under rules 9(7) of A.P.C.S (Conduct) Rules, 1964, since the A.O failed to file the annual

property returns during her service from the year 2009, 2010, 2013 & 2014. Further the A.O submitted her Annual Property Returns (APRs) for the year 1994 to 2008 at a time without covering letter and supporting documents in the year 2009.

5. The Director General, Anti Corruption Bureau has also recommend for departmental action against the A.O Smt. Mopuri Vijaya Lakshmi, for violations of rule 10(1-A) of A.P. Civil Services (Conduct) Rules, 1964, since the A.O failed to inform the Government that her husband Sri Y. Rama Linga Reddy, acted as commission agent for Andhra Pradesh Tourism Development Corporation at Visakhapatnam and Tirupathi and earned commission as per item No.13 & 14 of income details.

6. Whereas, Smt. M. Vijaya Lakshmi, in her representation 12th read above has stated that while she was working as Asst Project Director & Project Director (FAC), District Women & Child Development Agency, Visakhapatnam during the year 2016, the Visakhapatnam A.C.B teams lead by Mr Kinjarapu Ramakrishna Prasad, DSP conducted raids on 20.05.2016 on her residence and the residences of her parents, married daughter and relatives residences, on the allegation that she was in possession of assets disproportionate to her known sources of income. These raids were conducted based on the petitions filed by her brother-in-law (younger brother of her husband) Y. Venkatasubba Reddy, who had property disputes with her husband. As a practicing advocate in A.C.B Court, he could manage to get this done with the acquaintance he had with the A.C.B officials. She further stated that on 18.01.2018, a Statutory Notice was issued by the Investigation Officer, A.C.B., Visakhapatnam and called for her explanation. She submitted her explanation with all facts and documentary proofs of evidences to Investigation Officer, A.C.B, Visakhapatnam on 03.04.2018.

7. The Summary as per A.C.B Statutory Notice (DFR figures not known):-

	I.	Total income during the check period :		Rs.54,31,905/-
	II.	Total Expenditure during the check period:		Rs.35,92,813/-
	III.	Likely Savings (Rs 54,31,905 – Rs 35,92.813) =		Rs.18,39,092/-
	IV.	Value of the Assets acquired:		Rs.1,61,47,326/-
	V.	Disproportion (IV-III): Rs 1,61,47,326 – Rs 18,39,092 =		Rs.1,43,08,234/-

8. As per the Explanation given by her with proofs of evidences (AO family includes A.O., her husband and younger daughter):-

	I.	Total income of A.O. & family (includes husband and younger daughter) during the check period:		Rs.1,89,54,000/-
	II.	Total Expenditure during the check period :		Rs.19,81,884/-
	III.	Likely Savings (Rs 1,89,54,000 – Rs 19,81,884) =		Rs.1,69,72,116/-
	IV.	Value of the Assets acquired (A.O., her husband and younger daughter) from own sources of income:		Rs.49,91,607/-
	V.	Disproportion (IV-III): Rs 49,91,607 – Rs 1,69,72,116		Nil

9. As per the ACB statutory notice dated 18.01.2018, the ACB officials arrived disproportion by attributing –

	a.	The higher values attributed to asset numbers 1,2,5,8,9,12, 15,17.
	b.	The **asset no.9** belongs to outsiders got auction and not yet registered but does not belong to AO which amounts to Rs.48,61,000.
	c.	The **asset numbers 1,2,7,10,14,16** belong to her father and are

		acquired from his own sources of income and shall not be attributed to A.O which amounts to Rs.52,23,071.
	d.	The **asset no.17** i.e. gold belongs to her mother, and shall not be attributed to A.O which amounts to Rs.24,24,005.
	e.	The **asset no.6** belongs to elder son-in-law and shall not be attributed to A.O which amounts to Rs.1,79,000.
	f.	Her parents' assets attributed to her without considering their income, though they have their own sources of income and the details along with the proofs of evidences are submitted in Annexure.

10. She has finally stated that she put in 28 years of unblemished service, in various cadres in Government and there was never a whisper on her honesty and integrity till today and wherever worked, and utmost satisfaction of her superiors. She submitted her Annual Property Statements to the Department regularly in which she had declared all the assets acquired and disposed off by her since 1994, the year of her joining in to Government Service. Further, it is to submit that when her family consisting of herself, her husband and unmarried younger daughter is considered as one single unit, the details of Assets, Income and Expenditure of her family are explained in detail in Annexure I, II and III along with the proofs of evidences. Her father Sri M. Sadasiva Reddy is leading his life independently and he has got his own sources of income from his ancestral properties, his pension and his savings. The financial status of her father is explained in detail in the Annexure V along with the proofs of evidences. Therefore, she has requested to consider her explanation on record and to drop further proceedings as there is no prima-facie case on facts and in the circumstances of the case and in the interest of justice.

11. Government after careful examination of the entire matter, hereby decided and order to appoint Sri J. Satyanarayana, I.P.S. (Retd), Member, Commissionerate of Inquiries, General Administration Department, as an Inquiring Authority to conduct the inquiry on the allegations of acquisition of assets disproportionate to the known source of income and also for violation of A.P.C.S (Conduct) Rules, 1964, as recommended by the Director General, Anti Corruption Bureau in his letter 7th read above against Smt. Mopuri Vijaya Lakshmi, Asst., Project Director/ Project Director (FAC), DW&CDA, Visakhapatnam.

12. The Member, Commissionerate of Inquiries, General Administration Department, is requested to complete the inquiry within stipulated time.

13. The Director General, Anti Corruption Bureau is requested to take further necessary action in the matter.

[BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH]

MUDDADA RAVI CHANDRA
PRINICIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To
Sri J. Satyanarayana, I.P.S. (Retd), Member, Commissionerate of Inquiries, General Administration Department.
The Director General, Anti Corruption Bureau, Vijayawada (with a request to propose the name at an early date for nominating as the Presenting Officer)
The Director, WD&CW, Guntur.
The individual through Director, WD&CW, Guntur.
The Secretary to APVC for information.
The P.S to Prl. Secy., to Hon'ble C.M.
The P.S to M(WD&CW).

// FORWARDED :: BY ORDER //

SECTION OFFICER